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The tomb of the Washerman of the God, Senenu, was found by Professor Abu Bakr in 1952. A view of the mastaba and of the panel of the northern false door of Senenu have previously been published. It is the northern of Senenu's two false doors which forms the principal subject of the present article. But first something should be said about the physical appearance of Senenu's mastaba and chapel.

The Mastaba, Chapel and False Doors

Senenu's mastaba is built of mud brick and has an exterior corridor chapel along the eastern façade of the mastaba (Fig. 1). The entrance is in the east wall at the north end of the corridor. Counting from the southern end of the chapel, there are three pairs of niches consisting of a compound niche and a simple niche. The compound niche of the third pair actually comprises a stone false door. The principle northern niche that succeeds is likewise of stone, but is not paired with a simple niche unless one takes into account the simple niche further north, but at a some distance from the northern false door. If this is so, the two simple and two compound niches at the northern end of the corridor would form another pair of niches, in which case there would actually be six pairs of niches. Depending on whether there are an even or odd number of niches, the chapel would conform to Reisner's type (8b) or (8c).

Opposite the northern stone niche or false door is a deep embrasure which clearly marks this false door as the principal offering place of the mastaba. A deep embrasure also opens in front of the first compound niche in the northern section of the corridor. Emphasizing that this was a subsidiary offering place is the presence of an uninscribed limestone libation basin at the bottom of the same niche (not shown in the plan in Fig. 1). Presumably, the funerary priests or relatives of the deceased might place the funerary offerings and ritual objects in these embrasures in the course of the regular feasts of the necropolis.

Reisner assumed that the exterior chapels at Giza were roofed over. At present there is a modern wooden shed and door enclosing the southern section of the
corridor with the two stone false doors. A photograph from Professor Abdel-Moneim Abu Bakr's archive shows the length of the corridor after clearance, but there is no evidence visible that the corridor was actually roofed. The pristine state of the northern false door might argue that at least the southern section of the corridor was originally covered over with a wooden roofing. On the other hand, the southern false door is badly weathered, and this could constitute evidence that the corridor was not roofed. Of course, the weathering could have occurred at a later date after an original roofing had collapsed and sand had drifted in that covered and protected the northern false door but left the southern false door exposed.

Except for the two stone false doors, the walls and niches in the southern section of the corridor are covered with a thick layer of yellowish mud plaster. There is no trace of the fine, hard lime plaster which originally covered the walls and niches of the northern section of the corridor. The lack of lime plaster on the walls of the southern section of the corridor could constitute another argument that this section of the corridor was roofed originally.

The two false doors are stone-built and not monolithic. In form they are close replicas of one another (Fig. 2). Both are two jamb false doors with an oblong panel that allows a figure of the wife of the tomb owner to be included (Fig. 3). In both false doors the apertures are wide and each almost half the size of the panel itself. The principal text on the panel is located above the heads of the seated figures and gives the name and titles of Senenu and his wife in one horizontal line (A). On either side of the pedestal of the table of bread, an abbreviated ideographic list appears (B). Between the faces of Senenu and his wife is inscribed a list of food and drink (C). In both cases the apertures of the false door are decorated with offering lists (D-G), although the contents of the lists vary somewhat. The panel itself is situated on the lintel (H) located on top of the jambs and, like the panel and apertures, occupies the entire space between the plain limestone frame of the false door. The drum of the northern false door gives the name and two titles of the tomb owner (I).

Unlike the northern false door, the jambs of the southern false door were never decorated. At an unknown date, the decorated jambs of the northern false door (J, K) were removed by thieves. The right-hand jamb ultimately made its way into the collections of the Musée Royaux d’Art et d’Histoire, Brussels, but has been returned to Egypt recently (Pl. I). The left-hand jamb at present resides in the Institut d’Archéologie et d’Histoire de l’Art of the Catholic University of Louvain (Pl. II). Its return too is being sought by Prof.-Dr. Zahi Hawass, Secretary-General of the Supreme Council of Antiquities. Knowledge of the existence of the two jambs is due to Dr. Nadine Cherpion, who published the Louvain jamb in 1991 and identified it as coming from the mastaba of Senenu on stylistic grounds. The original provenience of the jambs was confirmed by an expedition photograph which shows both jambs in situ.
Regrettably, the thieves badly damaged the lintel of the false door in the process of forcibly removing the jambs, so that the end of the line of inscription is now missing. Fragments from the lintel found in the re-clearance of the chapel allowed the beginning of the inscription to be restored. Fortunately, another photograph from the Abu Bakr archive permits the restoration of the lintel inscription. Damage was also done to the sides of the two jambs in the process of extraction.

So far nothing has been said about the design of the table scene of the northern false door.9 Senenu and his wife, Ankherfenedjes, are seated on opposite sides of the same offering table. Senenu’s right hand is extended to the half-loaves of bread on the table before him. So is Ankherfenedjes’s right hand, while her other hand rests on her leg. Both individuals are seated on bull-legged stools whose side rails terminate in papyrus flower finials. The tendons are carefully delineated on three of the bull legs, but not on the front leg of Senenu’s stool. The legs rest on frustrum-shaped supports with beaded moldings. The pedestal offering table set on the ground between the couple reaches to knee height. The tall stand with concave sides has a triangular perforation at the base. The twelve half-loaves of bread resting on the table top are of medium height and have lost their realistic aspect inasmuch as the lower part of the loaves no longer reflects the form of the mold in which the bread was baked.10

The top row of Senenu’s wig of overlapping locks is higher than the rest and is filled in with divided vertical lines.11 He wears a long panther-skin robe that reaches to his ankles. In his left hand, which rests on his chest, he grasps one end of the elaborate shoulder knot of the garment. No traces of paint are preserved on the garment; indeed, the only indication that the false door was ever painted are traces of black paint around the eyes of the couple. Hoop-bangles adorn Senenu’s wrists, as they do those of Ankherfenedjes. Both husband and wife are barefooted. Ankherfenedjes wears a lappet wig and a long, tight-fitting dress with shoulder straps. Around the wig, she wears a floral diadem.

The Inscriptions

Section A

(1) \textit{iry-ht ntr, hwty (ntr), w'b nswt Snnw.} (2) \textit{iryt-ht nswt 'nh-r-fnd.s.}

(1) The custodian of the god’s property, the washerman (of the god), and king’s \textit{w'b-priest}, Senenu. (2) The (female) custodian of the god’s property, Ankherfenedjes.

Section B

(1) \textit{kz hz}, (2) \textit{m:jhd hz}.

(1) a thousand oxen, (2) a thousand oryx.
Section C

Implementes for washing hands, natron, a thousand baskets of Christ’s thorn fruit, a thousand baskets of Hegelg-fruit, a thousand baskets of figs, a thousand double jars of wine, a thousand jars of beer, a thousand loaves of bread, a thousand of every good thing, a thousand raisins, a thousand baskets of ‘earth almonds, a thousand loaves of bread from Christ’s thorn fruit, implements for washing hands, natron.

Section D

Idmy linen: a thousand of 100? (cubits wide), a thousand of five (cubits wide), a thousand of 100? (cubits wide), a thousand of four (cubits wide), a thousand of four (cubits wide), a thousand of two (cubits wide), a thousand of width sezf(?).

Ssr linen: a thousand of 100? (cubits wide), a thousand of five (cubits wide), a thousand of 100? (cubits wide), a thousand of four (cubits wide), a thousand of four (cubits wide), a thousand of two (cubits wide), a thousand of width sezf(?).

Sm’t linen: a thousand of 100? (cubits wide), a thousand of five (cubits wide), a thousand of 100? (cubits wide), a thousand of four (cubits wide), a thousand of four (cubits wide), a thousand of two (cubits wide), a thousand of width sezf(?).

Aa linen: a thousand of 100? (cubits wide), a thousand of five (cubits wide), a thousand of 100? (cubits wide), a thousand of four (cubits wide), a thousand of four (cubits wide), a thousand of two (cubits wide), a thousand of two (cubits wide).

Comments

There are four main divisions in the linen list, each composed of nine compartments arranged in a column. In the large compartment at the top of each division, the quality of cloth is marked by the signs for idmy, ssr, sm’t nfrt, and ri. Both ssr and ri are determined by the mnht-sign. Beneath the heading for each kind of linen, the remaining eight compartments in the four columns are grouped in pairs. The upper compartment of each pair contains a series of signs, sometimes a strip of cloth, sometimes horizontal strokes, and sometimes multiples of the sign. The lower compartment of each pair contains one or two signs. The signs contained in the
compartments of the first column are repeated verbatim across the other three rows, all except for the last row. In this row the designative word  is reiterated three times, but the last column once again contains signs.

The horizontal strokes and the signs indicate the breadth of the cloth in cubits, while  represents an unusually narrow width of cloth such as might have been used for belts or ribbons. All this is fairly straightforward, but the strip of cloth which is the foremost entry in eight of the compartments is problematical. Nevertheless, a measurement of breadth is expected, and this calls to mind the largest measurement of breadth in linen lists of the Fourth Dynasty. Commonly written , 'one hundred', and representing a 'hundred cubits' width, in certain lists the measurement may be written or . It is the absence of that is puzzling in the present case, but it is important to observe the presence of in the linen list of Seshemnefer I (G 4940) to the exclusion of all other measurements of width (see Fig. 6d). It therefore seems very likely that the strip of cloth in both Senenu's and Seshemnefer's linen lists stands for , 'one hundred'.

Section E

First quality festival unguent, first quality , first quality , best quality oil, incense, a thousand round-topped baskets, a thousand linen bags, a thousand flat-lidded boxes, a document case, a tan-box, a mantle, a thousand pieces of linen, clothing.

Section F

A thousand pieces of linen, a thousand pieces of linen, a thousand pieces of linen, a thousand pieces of linen, a thousand pieces of linen(?). A thousand flat-lidded boxes, a thousand of baskets, a thousand headrests.

Comments

The abbreviated linen list inscribed at the top of the right aperture, and the furniture list below it, lack vertical dividing lines. Nevertheless, the linen types in the first instance and the items of furniture in the second are arranged in horizontal rows, three rows in the first instance and two in the second. In case of the linen list, the hieroglyphs referring to the different qualities of cloth occupy the first row, a determinative follows in the second row and the third row is occupied by signs. The furniture list occupies only two rows, with the words for the objects
spelled out in the first row, and the determinative followed by a h- sign in the second row.

Section G

In contrast to the lists contained in Sections D-F, the objects depicted in the upper of two compartments of Section G are not accompanied by phonograms. The objects depicted are (from left to right) two floppy-topped bags, a carrying chair, a large box, a cylinder-seal attached to a bead-necklace and a round-topped basket. Three of these items we have already encountered in Sections E and F: the tubular bags (f), the box (hn) and the round-topped basket (dbh).

The form of f represents an elongated bag bound at the neck that was used, among other purposes, to store sticks and staves and musical instruments. An example from the painted burial chamber of Kaimankh has the body of the bag painted red and the top painted white. The top of the sign flops over, as it does in Senenu’s tomb, and this, combined with the white color, suggests that the upper part of the case was made of linen. On the other hand, elongated bags like this could stand by themselves, so the red-painted body of the bag in Kaimankh should be of a stiffer material, possibly leather considering the red color. An elongated, tubular case found in the burial chamber of Queen Hetepheres tomb I at Giza was indeed composed of leather and differed from the f-bags depicted here only in that it had metal-covered disks at both top and bottom.

Hn(w) boxes are generally rectangular boxes of simple design and varying dimensions with flat lids and were usually supported on battens. On the other hand, they can on occasion be provided with short legs or sit on separately manufactured stands made to look like a low table. Sometimes they are provided at the top with a cavetto cornice and torus molding. By the end of the Old Kingdom, hn(w) had become the generic term for virtually any kind of box or chest, including chests with gable lids, though there is no question that it continued to be used to designate simple rectangular boxes and chests supported on battens. The determinative of hn, portrayed three times on Senenu’s false door, shows a box from above, with two pairs of cord loops which would have fitted around the button handle in the middle of the chest and then been sealed with a lump of wet clay.

The cylinder-seal attached to a bead-necklace is familiar as a hieroglyph, in the title hmtty bty, for example. Here extraordinarily it is shown as an independent object.

The body of the carrying chair with its high back, the curved frame of the armrest on one side, one of the side boards of the footrest and one of the carrying poles are all shown. The one end of the carrying pole that is visible appears to be capped with a palmiform finial, like the carrying chair of Queen Hetepheres I. Unlike the latter, the curved frame of the armrest appears to be mounted directly on the side board of the footrest. The carrying chair or litter was a sign of high social rank and importance.
The use of a carrying chair was a prerogative bestowed by the king, who also assigned
noble youths of the Residence to carry the chair. Its presence here is indicative of the
esteem in which Senenu was held by his sovereign, and assured by sympathetic magic
the continued use of a carrying chair by Senenu in the next world. Carrying chairs also
appear in the furniture lists of Hathor-nefer-hetep Rahotep and Seshemnofer I.

The lower of the two compartments of Section G contains a portrayal of a boat with
a light cabin aft of amidships and with a hedgehog prow. The term for hedgehog is
hnt, and the word for this type of boat by extension is apparently hnt(y). What is
interesting here is what appears to be a reduplication of the last two radicals of the
word. Boats like this feature in the 'journey to the West' on the walls of Old Kingdom
mastabas. Droste zu Hölschhof suggests that the ancient Egyptians, like the later
classical authors, believed the hedgehog could foretell the coming of the wind.

Section H

(1) Htp-[di] Inpw 'qr's. ii.f lzw m nb imz h iry-hnt nswt, hwt(y)-ntr, w'b nswt, Sn[nw. Hmt.f
iry-hnt nswt nbt imz h 'nh-r-fnd.s].

(1) An offering which Anubis [gives] that he be [bur]ied after he had achieved old
age as a possessor of reverence, (namely) the custodian of the king's property, the
washerman of the god, the king's w'^b-priest, Senenu. His wife, the (female) custodian
of the king's property, the possessor of reverence, Ankherfenedjes.

Section I (Right-hand jamb)

(1) Iry-hnt nswt, w'^b nswt, Sn[nw.

(1) The custodian of the king's property, the king's w'^b-priest, Senenu.

Section J

(1) Iry-hnt nswt, w'^b [nswt], hwty-ntr Sn[nw.

(1) The custodian of the king's property, the [king's] w'^b-priest, the washerman of
the god, Senenu.

(3) Sn[nw 'nds'; (4) z^ z^:f.

(3) Senenu 'the Younger'; (4) the son of his son.

(5) W'b nswt, Ir-kz(i)-Hwfw.

(5) The king's w'^b-priest, Ir-kai-khufu.

(6) W'b nswt, Hwfw-snb.

(6) The king's w'^b-priest, Khufu-seneb.

Section K (Left-hand jamb)

(1) Iryt-hnt nswt mwt.s Izi. (2) Mwt n hmt.f p(w).

(1) The (female) custodian of the king's property, her mother, Izi. (2) It is the
mother of his wife.
The (female) custodian of the king's property, Ankherfenedjes.

It is his wife.

The (female) custodian of the [king's] property, Hepetka.53

The (female) custodian of the king's property, Meret.54

It is the wife of his son.

Snnw 'nds'. (8) Senenu the Younger.

Comments

Fischer thought that the use of the circumlocution 'the mother of his wife', in Section K, instead of smt.f, the word for 'mother-in-law', is doubtless to be explained by the fact that the mother-in-law and wife are represented side by side and the parallel phrasing of inscriptions K 1-2 and 3-4.55

The Tomb Owner, His Family and Social Position

Senenu was evidently proud of his family, for he had represented on the jambs of his false door some four generations of his family. First of all, there was his wife Ankherfenedjes's mother, Izi, shown embraced by her daughter in the upper register of the right-hand jamb. Depicted in the upper register of the left-hand jamb is Senenu himself with his two sons Ir-kai-khufu and Khufu-seneb in the register below. Shown together with his grandfather on the upper register is Senenu's grandson, Senenu the Younger. In the bottom register of the right-hand jamb are two women, the second of whom is definitely a daughter-in-law of Senenu and Ankherfenedjes because the inscription behind her figure tells us that this is so. She is accompanied by Senenu the Younger who is presumably her son. If the order in which the two female figures are depicted here and the order of the sons on the left jamb directly opposite means anything, it seems likely that Hepetka was the wife of Ir-kai-khufu and Meret the spouse of Khufu-seneb. It is curious that Senenu did not depict his own mother and father. Perhaps Senenu married above him and Ankherfenedjes's parents had more status than Senenu's own mother and father. But this last is conjectural.

In the inscriptions on his false door, Senenu is iry-ht nswt 'custodian of the king's property', and w'b nswt, 'king's w'b-priest'. Otherwise, he is iry-ht ntr, 'custodian of the god's property', and hwty-ntr, 'washerman of the god'.

From the very start the title iry-ht nswt was designed simply for those persons (both of royal and nonroyal origin) who were in some way associated with the duties or service to the king at his court. The evidence suggests that at least down to the beginning of the Fourth Dynasty the title was a mark of distinction, the ranking title associated with officials who attained high positions at the royal court.56 Thereafter
the title still remained an indicator of royal favor, even though it was no longer held by the highest ranking officials, but rather by officials of the treasury and granary, building overseers, scribes, craftsmen, overseers of ointments, overseers of weavers, physicians, priests of the royal mortuary temples and the sun temples and so on, in other words by practically anyone concerned with the administration of state business on any level. As an honorary title, the feminine equivalent, *iryt-ht nswt*, was also held by the wives of many of these officials. So is the case, for instance, with Ankherfenedjes.

The title *wr nswt* is very commonly coupled with *iryt-ht nswt*, as it is on the drum of Senenu's false door. The priesthood in Old Kingdom Egypt comprised two main classes, the priests (*hmw-ntr*) being the higher and the *wr*-priests (*w bw*) the lower. *Wr*, literally 'pure' or 'clean one' was a general term for priest in later times, and was retained in Coptic to designate the Christian priest. In the Old Kingdom, *wr*-priests served in the cults of a limited number of deities, namely Wadjet, Wepwawet, Min, Nemty, Ptah, Hathor, Re and Sekhmet. Less commonly, *wr*-priests were attached to the sun temples of the Fifth Dynasty kings. The mortuary cults of the kings in particular, down to and including Teti, were serviced by *wr*-priests, after which time the title appears to have fallen into neglect. As *wr nswt (n) pr-", *wr*-priests also seem to have been employed in the palace.

There is no evidence that Senenu as *wr nswt* was attached to a royal mortuary cult. As is the case with those individuals who were *wr (n) nswt pr-", he may well have served in the royal palace. This would be in keeping with his titles of *iryt-ht ntr* and *hwty-ntr*, especially if *ntr* in the two titles refers to the king, as it sometimes does in titles, and not a god as such.

An idea of the economic status of the holders of the title *wr nswt* can be had from the tombs they constructed for themselves and their families. These were medium-sized mastabas of stone, rubble or mud brick, but with limited figurative and inscriptional decoration (false doors or false door panels, lintels and drums, entrance thicknesses). On occasion, the tombs were equipped with statues. None of the tombs had scenes of daily life on their walls.

Two other individuals in the Abu Bakr Cemetery, like Senenu, are *iryt-ht nswt, wr nswt*. Their tombs form a useful contrast to Senenu's mastaba, but still fall within the parameters defined above. Unlike his, these two mastabas are stone-built, constructed of medium-sized blocks of local limestone.

The first of these, an interior symmetrical chapel (cruciform) with two false doors in the west wall with the doorway opposite the space between, belonged to *Kj-tp* and his wife *Tp-m-nfret*. The northern false door, which is inscribed for *Kj-tp*, forms the principal offering place. All surfaces of the false door are decorated with figures and inscriptions, including a frame around the false door niche. In contrast, the decoration of *Tp-m-nfret's* false door is confined to the architrave, panel and drum. Except for the
inscribed lintel and drum of the entrance, these are the only decorated elements of the mastaba.

The second tomb belongs to an individual named Sinb or just possibly Tpjt-snb. It is an open-air chapel of corridor form built against an older mastaba to the east. The chapel proper consists of a deep-roofed niche lined with stone. The side walls of the niche and the false door at its back are completely decorated with depictions of the deceased, his family and attendants. In addition to the chapel proper, there are two compound niches and a simple niche cut into the façade, all uninscribed.

The ideogram for hwty in Senenu’s title of hwty-nfr evidently represents a man beating a piece of linen on a stone from which arises a curved stream of water (Fig. 4). The water is actually striated on the example on the horizontal line of inscription above the head of the seated image of Senenu on the panel of the false door.

The ordinary word for ‘washerman’ is rhty, a term which according to Wb. II, 448, is only attested since the Middle Kingdom. Hwty is perhaps an earlier word for ‘washerman’. The word is not common, although it is possible that it recurs in the title Ñì-ë-Hvé, ‘overseer of washermen’, on a libation basin of Old Kingdom date from Giza. It does not seem that the word survived into the Middle Kingdom. As early as the late Old Kingdom or Ninth Dynasty, the verb rht is determined with the figure of a man beating a piece of linen against a stone. Similarly, at Beni Hasan two workmen beating cloth against a stone are labeled rht, ‘washing’. In our present state of knowledge, the function of the hwty and the rhty thus seems identical.

The Names of the Tomb Owner and His Wife

Sinw (sn.nw) is actually the cardinal number for ‘second’. Senenu was most probably the second child of his parents or possibly the second son. Hmt.nw, ‘the Third’, is also known as a personal name.

The name Senenu actually appears in two different orthographies on the northern false door, as pointed out to me by Professor Erich Winter during a visit to the Abu Bakr Cemetery in 2000. In general, the name is written Ñì-ë-ë, but in the line of inscription above Senenu and his wife it appears as Ñì-ë. The oval sign Ñì is the determinative for ‘round’ in ñw, ‘circuit’, and related words, but it is difficult to account for its presence in Senenu’s name.

The name of Senenu’s wife, Ankherfenedjes (‘nh-r-fnd.s), ‘Life is at her nose’, is paralleled by a masculine variant of the same name in the tomb of Nefermaat and Atet at Medum, one of whose sons was called Ankherfenedjef (‘nh-r-fnd.f), ‘Life is at his nose’. Another son of the couple is Ankthershetef (‘nh-r-srt.f), ‘Life is at his nostril(s). Wb. translates both fnd and srt as ‘nose’, but also notes that srt is used in the dual in medical texts, and it is thus likely that the latter word designates the two nostrils.

Both words occur in other personal names. The wife of Sekhentiu-ka, the owner of an Old Kingdom tomb in the Central Field at Giza, is a certain Ni-ankh-shepet (Ny-snh-
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\[\text{sr}, \text{'Life belongs to the nostril(s)'}\].\(^{86}\) An ancestor of Sarenput II in the Middle Kingdom at Aswan was one Nebfendjet (\(Nb-fnd.t\)), 'Possessor of a (big) nose'.\(^{87}\) The personal name Fenedj(ey) (\(Fnd[y]f\)), 'The one of the (big) nose', likewise occurs at Aswan.\(^{88}\)

The Date of the Tomb

The precise dating of Senenu's tomb has been a matter of controversy, the tomb being variously assigned, by Barta to the end of the Fourth Dynasty, by Leclant to the Fifth Dynasty, by Porter and Moss to the same dynasty and by Kees to the end of the Fifth or the Sixth Dynasty.\(^{89}\) The most recent effort to date the tomb is that of Nadine Cherpion in her study of dating criteria for Old Kingdom tombs. A number of the criteria put forth by Cherpion are useful in demonstrating that the tomb probably antedates the second half of the Fifth Dynasty. These include: (1) the depiction of the cushion on the chair of the owner so that only the rear of the cushion shows (Criterion 3); (2) the medium height of the topmost row of overlapping locks (calotte) of the short wig (Criterion 29); (3) the 'dog collar' or 'choker' worn without a \(\text{ws} / \text{hs}\)-collar (by the daughters-in-law of Senenu on the right-hand jamb of his false door) (Criterion 45).\(^{90}\) Cherpion believes that the most reliable means of dating a particular tomb is the presence of a king's cartouche on its walls.\(^{91}\) In the case of the three criteria just cited, the last king whose name can be read on the walls of the tombs where these criteria appear is Neuserre.\(^{92}\)

Cherpion offers three other criteria which she feels are more useful in narrowing down the date of the mastaba of Senenu.\(^{93}\) These are: (1) the presence of a linen list (Criterion 61); the existence of a list of vases (Criterion 64); and the long leopard skin garment worn by the tomb owner (Criterion 38). In fact, there is no list of vases properly speaking on Senenu's false door, but rather a list of oils. Otherwise, according to Cherpion, the last royal name that one reads on the walls of the mastabas where Criterion 61 and 38 appear is the name of Djedefre. The mastaba of Senenu is therefore not later than that king.\(^{94}\)

At the time when Cherpion published her study, she was evidently unaware that the two jambs from the northern false door of Senenu existed. The occurrence of Khufu's cartouche in the names of Senenu's two sons on the left-hand jamb would seem to confirm a Fourth Dynasty date for the mastaba of Senenu, assuming that Cherpion's methodological approach is correct. There are problems though as far as Criterion 61 and 38 are concerned. In the case of the linen list (Criterion 61), one of the two latest mastabas in which the criterion occurs is that of Seshemnefer I (G 4940), which is assigned by other scholars to the early Fifth Dynasty.\(^{95}\) As far as the long leopard skin garment (Criterion 38) is concerned, it appears in three mastabas which are again dated by other authorities to the early Fifth Dynasty, but by Cherpion to the Fourth Dynasty, namely the mastabas of Seshathetep/Heti (G 5150), Ny-kai-nesut I (G 2155) and Tjenti (G 4920).\(^{96}\)
The mastabas of Seshemnefer I, Seshathetep/Heti, Ny-kai-nesut I and Tjenti are all L-shaped chapels with two false doors in the west wall. Reisner thought that the date of transition from one-niched L-shaped chapels to two-niched L-shaped chapels was the latter part of the Fourth Dynasty. The two-niched chapel was actually used in five mastabas previous to the reign of Menkaure. These chapels belonged to a queen of Khufu (G I-b), three princes of the royal family (G 4000, Hemiunu; G 2000, NN; G 7510, Ankhhañfi) and a princess (G 7650, Akhetetep and Meretites). In the large mastabas at Giza after the reign of Menkaure, the chapels were usually the two-niched L-shaped chapels down to the end of the reign of Neferikare.97

The decoration of the two-niched L-shaped chapels of the Fourth Dynasty at Giza was very limited in scope. These chapels show only the table scene, the offering scenes, the presentation scene and family groups (sometimes with smaller subsidiary scenes such as men bringing the funeral meal and the slaughter scene).98 Smith has observed that there were two additions to the basic Fourth Dynasty repertoire of scenes. The first was the inclusion of the boats which generally appear over the entrance on the east wall, and which represented the journey to the sacred cities or the voyage of the soul to the fields of the west.99 This 'journey to the West' is confined to a group of six two-niched L-shaped chapels in the West Field at Giza: Mer-ib, Seshathetep/Heti, Nesutnefer, Ny-kai-nesut I, Seshemnofer I and Wehemkai.100 The close proximity of these tombs, as well as other similarities in their decoration,101 imply that these chapels were probably decorated by the same group of craftsmen or by artists who had received very similar training in sculpture and chapel design.102 In contrast to Cherpion, Harpur dates the chapels of Nesutnefer, Ny-kai-nesut I, Seshemnefer I and Wehmkai to the early Fifth Dynasty.103 Mer-ib she assigns a slightly earlier date, from Shepseskaf to Userkaf.104

In terms of dating these chapels, it is worth repeating a number of pertinent observations made by Kanawati in his dating of the chapels of Seshathetep/Heti and Nesutnefer.105 The depiction of Nesutnefer leaning on his staff with one leg relaxed is found in tombs dated to the Fifth and Sixth Dynasties, but not earlier.106 The offering lists on the south walls of the chapels of both Seshathetep Heti and Nesutnefer are, unlike the Fourth Dynasty ones, extensive, yet do not fit into the canonical pattern which seems to have been introduced in the non-royal tombs in or after the reign of Sahure.107 With Nesutnefer probably copying scenes from Seshathetep Heti's chapel, he is likely to be later, but perhaps not much later.108 Kanawati concludes that Seshathetep Heti's tomb was probably built and decorated in the middle of the reign of Sahure.109 Kanawati also thinks Seshathetep/Heti may be identical with an individual named Heti who is depicted in the funerary temple of Sahure.110

In dating the tomb of Seshemnefer I, Kanawati, citing Cherpion, observes that the chair with back and cushion, on which the wife sits in the scene above the northern false door, was rare before the reign of Izezi and common afterwards.111 In fact, the
names of the kings that one reads on monuments where this criterion (Criterion 6) is evident include the Fourth Dynasty kings Sneferu, Khufu, Djedefre and Menkaure, as well as later Fifth and Sixth Dynasty kings.112 This is an important criterion, but in actual fact it can be demonstrated that none of the individuals whose monuments exhibit this feature and the cartouches of the Fourth Dynasty kings actually date to the Fourth Dynasty.

Ni-ankh-Sneferu/Fefi’s tomb has a east-west chapel,113 a chapel type that first appears in the early Fifth Dynasty, becomes more frequent in the middle of that dynasty, and becomes the principal form of chapel in the Sixth Dynasty.114 Baud observes that Fefi’s title [hry sjt n wd-mdw m hwwt wrt 6], cannot have preceded the creation of the department of the ‘six great courts’ around the time of Neuserre. Since the title itself under that form and numerous variants is only known a little later, he thinks the mastaba probably belongs to the end of the Fifth Dynasty.115 It should also be noted that Fefi has a three jamb false door with texts of even length and figures of equal height.116 In the Fifth Dynasty the inscriptions, size and decoration of the jambs of false doors became more regular: the length of the texts became even and the figures of the deceased on each jamb became of equal height. Strudwick connects this with the appearance of the cavetto cornice and torus molding, but notes that it took more time for the torus and cornice concept to become a standard feature of false doors than did the new layout of the jambs and their inscriptions.117 It is not certain from De Morgan’s sketch of Fefi’s false door that it possessed a torus molding (and cavetto cornice), but it is likely that it did. Taking into account the offering formula ir.tw n.f sjht in hry-hbt on his false door, it is likely that Fefi belongs to the Sixth Dynasty.118

Sneferu-hetep’s tomb has a straight corridor chapel entered from the north.119 At Giza the two-niched L-shaped chapel was succeeded in Fifth Dynasty by new types which presented increased wall areas. These new types include the corridor chapels, which became the prevailing type of chapel at Giza after the reign of Neferirkare. In addition to the offering scenes, agricultural pursuits are shown on the east wall. Harpur remarks that that wall has a composition like that of Akhethetep (D 64) of the reigns of Izezi and Unis.122 The chapel of Kahif is a rectangular room with a pillar in the center. Smith notes that the table scene on the south wall is accompanied, as in the chapel of Iymery (G 6020), by small figures of members of the family who sit at little offering tables. In addition, the east wall is covered with scenes from life resembling those of Nefer I (see below). On the basis of a number of stylistic criteria, Cherpion herself concludes that the mastaba of Kahif is not anterior to Neuserre.126 Like Sneferu-hetep, Nefer I has a straight corridor chapel. The decoration includes outdoor and kiosk scenes with lotus presentation, dancers and clappers and agricultural and marsh sequences. Banquet scenes are depicted in a few early chapels, but kiosk and pavilion scenes do not feature prominently in any chapel type
until the first half of the Fifth Dynasty.\textsuperscript{129} It is likely that parts of the decoration in Nefer's tomb were copied from the tomb of Ny-kai-nesut II.\textsuperscript{130} Ny-kai-nesut II was a priest of Khufu, but his tomb is unlikely to be as early as that king. It was built against the tomb of Ny-kai-nesut I, which Cherpion would date because of the occurrence of the cartouche of Khufu and on stylistic grounds to the reign of that king,\textsuperscript{131} but which other scholars assign to the early Fifth Dynasty.\textsuperscript{132} Ny-kai-nesut's tomb was still unfinished at the time of his death and was completed by his son, Ny-kai-nesut III. The latter circumstance makes it unlikely that the tomb of Ny-kai-nesut II dates to the Fourth Dynasty. The same conclusion draws support from a canonical offering list on the walls of Ny-kai-nesut II's chapel,\textsuperscript{133} the appearance of which in the nonroyal cemeteries at Saqqara seems to date to the reign of Neferirkare, while at Giza the earliest canonical list appears in the tomb of Seshemnefer I.\textsuperscript{134} A canonical offering list also appears on the walls of Nefer's tomb.\textsuperscript{135} Since Ny-kai-nesut II dates to about mid-Fifth Dynasty, Nefer is likely to be at least as late.

The mastaba of Akhethetep is located in the Abu Bakr Cemetery at Giza. It adjoins the western side of the uninscribed 'Mastaba A'. The northern side of the latter mastaba is built against the southern end of the Fourth Dynasty mastaba of Hetep-ni-khnum tomb.\textsuperscript{136} So Akhethetep's mastaba is probably later in date than Hetep-ni-khnum's.\textsuperscript{137} It is also later in date than the mastaba of Persen tomb, for the northwest corner of the mastaba rests on the outer enclosure wall of the Persen Complex.\textsuperscript{138} The latter mastaba is dated by Reisner to the first half of the Fifth Dynasty,\textsuperscript{139} by Baer to the early Fifth Dynasty,\textsuperscript{140} and by Harpur to the reigns of Userkaf or Sahure.\textsuperscript{141} The design and layout of Akhethetep's northern false door suggest that the mastaba in actuality dates to the Sixth Dynasty.\textsuperscript{142} Two criteria are of importance in this regard. Beginning seemingly in the early part of the reign of Pepy II, the content of table scenes on tomb walls and false door panels begins to be simplified and is commonly confined to the seated figure of the deceased at a table of bread and a single ewer and basin, the latter either resting on a small table or not.\textsuperscript{143} The same arrangement occurs at Saqqara under Unis and in the early part of Teti's reign.\textsuperscript{144} Nevertheless, another feature of Akhethetep's false door suggests that the late Sixth Dynasty date is the correct one. While the false door of Akhethetep has two pairs of jambs, the figures are lacking on the central pair of jambs, which instead bear two text columns. Parallels date to the early part of the reign of Pepy II and later.\textsuperscript{145}

Khnumhotep II erected at the western end of the west Field at Giza a small mastaba with an L-shaped chapel and several shafts.\textsuperscript{146} Baer observes that it is the first mastaba in a cluster of connected tombs in the general mass of late tombs in this portion of the cemetery, and assigns the tomb to the Fourth Dynasty.\textsuperscript{147} Utilizing a combination of Cherpion's criteria, Baud dates the tomb between the reigns of Neferirkare and Neuserre.\textsuperscript{148}

On the thickness of the entrance to the chapel of Nefer I (see above), a zb zš Iha presents an offering to the deceased.\textsuperscript{149} Iha's false door, on which he is assigned the
higher ranking title zib imy-rt zsw, was found on the floor of the room. The false
door is thus at least as late as Nefer I. It has two pairs of jambs with jamb inscriptions
of even length, with figures of the deceased of equal height at the bottom of each. It
has already been pointed out above that in the Fifth Dynasty the inscriptions, size,
and decoration of the jambs of false doors became more regular. At the same time, the
length of the texts became even and the figures of the deceased on each jamb became
of equal height. This is the case with Iha’s false door, which is therefore at least as late
as the Fifth Dynasty.

Toward the end of the Fifth Dynasty, a characteristic element of the decoration
of tombs was lintels with a series of figures of the owner. Irenakhti/Irenptah/Iri
possesses just such a lintel above the entrance to his chapel. In addition, the false
door of Irenakhti has a cavetto cornice and a torus moulding. The cornice and torus
appear first in the early to middle Fifth Dynasty in both royal and private contexts.
Furthermore, in the table scenes on the walls of Irenakhti’s chapel and on the panel
of his false door, a nested ewer and basin is coupled with a jar rack containing hezet-
vessels placed on the opposite side of the pedestal of the offering table. The nested
ewer and basin (resting on a table or not) and the jar rack containing hezet- and / or
qebeh-vessels are regular elements in table scenes of viziers and other officials from
the reign of Teti. Irenakhti thus seemingly lived in the Sixth Dynasty.

On the basis of details of the titulary and certain characteristics of its decoration,
Schürmann assigns the tomb chapel of II-nefret tomb in Karlsruhe to the mid-Fifth
Dynasty. In contrast, Harpur cites a number of reasons for dating the tomb to
the Sixth Dynasty, specifically to the reign of Merenre or the early part of the reign
of Pepy II. In particular she observes that during the Old Kingdom, perhaps on
account of their dress and demands of decorum, women were not shown in the raised-
knee posture. This convention was strictly observed until the Sixth Dynasty, when,
probably in the early years of the reign of Pepy II, a few women were depicted with
their further knee raised. This is the case in the fowling scene of II-nefret, where the
deceased’s wife appears to be squatting with one or both knees drawn up. Harpur
also refers to a composite scene of a fowler and a pleasure cruise in II-nefret’s chapel.
She remarks that the crouching male figure in this scene is without parallel in the Old
Kingdom, for in all other similar scenes the male figure stands erect. In actual fact,
the pleasure cruise and the crouching male figure is paralleled in the unpublished reliefs
from the tomb of Nekhebu at Giza (G 2381). According to his biography, Nekhebu
served Pepy I as overseer of all works of the king. Considering Nekhebu’s status as
minister of public works, it is more likely that II-nefret’s artist copied the scene from
Nekhebu’s tomb than vice-versa, so Harpur’s date for II-nefret’s tomb is probably not
far off the mark.

Baud, citing Strudwick, points out that the depiction of the deceased seated facing
himself on the panel of the false door of Khenu in the British Museum favors a date
for the latter individual in the second half of the Fifth Dynasty or at the beginning of the Sixth.\textsuperscript{164} Additionally, Khenu is \textit{shd hnty-w-š}. Baer dates the appearance of \textit{hnty-w-š} titles to the time of Izezi or slightly later.\textsuperscript{165} Baud vigorously opposes Baer’s hypothesis and believes that the title is known, at the latest, at the end of the Fourth Dynasty.\textsuperscript{166} At question is the date of the false door of Khufu-ankh (G 4520), who is \textit{hnty-w-š pr-š}.\textsuperscript{167} This individual has a son named Menkaure-ankh, and Baud thinks that the false door was therefore decorated in all probability in the reign of Menkaure, even though he is aware that a sealing found in the tomb of Khufu-ankh bears the Horus name of Userkaf.\textsuperscript{168} Baer points out that the sealing only provides a \textit{terminus a quo} for the tomb of Khufu-ankh. He notes that William Stevenson Smith decided that the statue of khufu-ankh and his wife belongs on stylistic grounds to the second half of the Fifth Dynasty.\textsuperscript{169} All things considered, Baer thinks it probable that the date of the tomb is approximately that of the statue. His final conclusion is that Khufu-ankh belongs to his period VD, that is, the reign of unis.\textsuperscript{170}

Like the physician Ny-ankh-sekhmet, whose false door was a gift from Sahure, Khufu-ankh’s false door was a gift from his sovereign.\textsuperscript{171} Both are colossal, monolithic limestone false doors, the first measuring 3.17m in height and the second 2.96m in height. In both cases, according to the texts inscribed on the false doors, the king, unnamed in Khufu-ankh’s case, checked the progress being made on the respective false doors in the course of every day. There are differences in the format of the two doors. Both false doors have two pairs of jambs, but Ny-ankh-sekhmet treats the outer jambs and the architrave almost as a frame. In Khufu-ankh’s false door, the outer jambs are larger, the inner smaller, while the converse is true of Ny-ankh-sekhmet’s false door. In addition, the lower lintel on Khufu-ankh’s false door is smaller than Ny-ankh-sekhmet’s.

Nevertheless, the two false doors have a number of features in common. In both husband and wife face each other across an offering table on the false door panel. As is the case with a number of false doors of Fifth Dynasty date, there is an abbreviated offering list above the heads of the couples with bowls bearing meat and fowl offerings below this.\textsuperscript{172} In Ny-ankh-sekhmet’s case, the individual items are separated by vertical lines, while on Khufu-ankh’s panel the items are actually contained in individual compartments. Offering bearers appear on the wide apertures of Khufu-ankh’s false door, while family members are depicted on the apertures of Ny-ankh-sekhmet’s false door. Khufu-ankh is shown together with family members (wife, children, parents, and a \textit{snt-di}t named Iti)\textsuperscript{173} on the jambs of his false door. On the jambs of his false door, Ny-ankh-sekhmet is depicted with wife, children, brothers, and a dentist named Menkaure-ankh!

Taking into account the similarities between the two doors, it is likely that Khufu-ankh and Ny-ankh-sekhmet were near contemporaries. Ny-ankh-sekhmet’s autobiography makes it clear that he was a contemporary of Sahure. Khufu-ankh’s
false door may have been carved in the course of the same reign or, given the presence of the sealing of Userkaf in his burial chamber, the false door may have been executed a little earlier, in the reign of Userkaf.

As an early holder of a ḫnty-š title, Baud also cites Duare, who was overseer of Sneferu’s two pyramids at Dahshur. Duare was also ḫnty-š Wˁb-swt-Wsrk.f. Most scholars agree that Duare belongs to the first half of the Fifth Dynasty. In that case the appearance of the ḫnty-š titles would indeed antedate the reign of Izezi, but not belong to the end of the Fourth Dynasty. Khenu too would not be earlier than the Fifth Dynasty.

Since none of the mastabas listed under Criterion 61 with the names of the kings of the Fourth Dynasty on their walls actually date to the Fourth Dynasty, Criterion 61 cannot be used to date the mastaba of Seshemnefer I to the Fourth Dynasty. On the contrary, it indicates the mastaba belongs to the Fifth Dynasty. Given the close proximity of the tombs of Mer-ib, Seshathetep/Heti, Nesutnefer, Ny-kai-nesut I, Seshemnofer I, and Wehemkai and the similarities in their decoration, it is likely that all these tombs likewise belong to the early Fifth Dynasty.

All this means that two of the criteria which Cherpion utilizes to date the mastaba of Senenu to the Fourth Dynasty (Criteria 61 and 38) are also attested at the beginning of the Fifth Dynasty. To reiterate: in the case of the linen list (Criterion 61), one of the two latest mastabas in which the criterion occurs is that of Seshemnefer I (G 4940). As far as the long leopard skin garment (Criterion 38) is concerned, it appears in three mastabas which also apparently date to the early Fifth Dynasty, namely those of Seshathetep/Heti (G 5150), Ny-kai-nesut I (G 2155), and Tjenti (G 4920). So, on the basis of Criteria 61 and 38, Senenu’s mastaba could alternatively belong to the early Fifth Dynasty.

It is not easy to decide between the two alternatives. Nevertheless, a number of features are worth discussing.

As noted above, Senenu and Ankherfenedjes share the same offering table. This could derive from earlier models like the early Fourth Dynasty panel scene of Nofret at Medum, which shows Nofret with her husband, Rahotep, seated on opposite sides of an offering table. On the other hand, this group exists as a small scene above the north false door of Seshemnefer I and on the panels of the false doors of Seshathetep/Heti.

On the panel of the false door, Ankherfenedjes wears a lovely floral diadem consisting of three flowers, two viewed in profile and one from the front (Fig. 5b). Similar is a diadem with flowers worn by a queen or princess in a marsh scene from the Sahure mortuary temple (Fig. 5c). The rosettes with which the latter diadem is ornamented are of the same type as the ones from the footboard of the bed of Queen Hetepheres I. The form of the floral motifs and the fact that the diadem is painted yellow in the latter case suggests that it is a question of a piece of jewellery. Two
comparable diadems are worn by the wife of Pehenuka in his Saqqara tomb (Figs 5d, e). These diadems are known only from Lepsius’s drawings and no color notations are provided. Nonetheless, these diadems too were in all probability products of the jeweller’s craft. The floral elements in Ankherfenedjes’s diadem are less stylized, and it seems likely that the diadem was composed of natural flowers. This seems also to have been the case with the circlet worn by Nofret at Medum (Fig. 5a), which appears to have two flowers tucked under the band at front and back. Another diadem which was probably made from natural flowers is worn by a daughter of Hetep-ni-khnum. As will be seen in the next paragraph, the latter tomb may well date to the Fourth Dynasty. If this is indeed the case, the form of Ankherfenedjes’s diadem could constitute an argument for a similar date for Senenu’s mastaba.

The linen list had established itself on the right-hand side of the false door panel by the time of Metjen, but it seems to have ceased to be a part of the decoration of the door by the end of the Fourth Dynasty. In Senenu’s false door the linen list no longer appears on the panel, but has been transferred to the left-hand aperture of the door. In this it resembles the false door of Hetep-ni-khnum (also located in the Abu Bakr Cemetery at Giza) in which the linen list is located on the right-hand aperture of the false door. Although a number of scholars have dated Hetep-ni-khnum’s mastaba to the Fifth Dynasty or later, on good grounds Cherpion has assigned the tomb to the Fourth Dynasty. Hetep-ni-khnum’s false door is demonstrably earlier than Senenu’s, however. In particular, Hetep-ni-khnum wears a diadem composed of a bow with two large loops, parallels for which belong to the Fourth Dynasty.

On the lintel of Senenu’s false door, the offering formula is addressed to Anubis alone. Fischer has observed this formula without a preceding Α Α Α Α Α Α is particularly common on monuments of the Fourth Dynasty, but was also in use later. Fischer’s references were limited in number, but he subsequently noted two more examples of the formula in the mastabas of Khafkhufu I and Wehemkai. Moreover, three of the four monuments designated by Fischer as ‘later’, namely the tombs of Neferi, Itju, and Irti have been dated by Cherpion to the Fourth Dynasty. The fourth reference is to a libation basin, a type of object which is notoriously difficult to date precisely. Nevertheless, clearly dated examples of the formula thus seem to derive from the Fourth Dynasty, and this could argue that Senenu’s tomb likewise belongs to that dynasty. On the other hand, additional examples derive from the so-called ‘Minor Cemetery’ at Giza, and other, unpublished instances come from the Abu Bakr Cemetery. Further analysis will be necessary before it can be determined whether all these occurrences date to the Fourth Dynasty or whether some belong to the Fifth Dynasty.

One criterion that may argue for an early Fifth Dynasty date for Senenu is the vertical format of his linen list (Fig. 6a). This in sharp contrast to the horizontal format of the Fourth Dynasty offering lists, especially those in the slab-stelae of the reign of
Khufu (Fig. 6b). Nevertheless, it is notable that already in the false door panel of the Overseer of the Treasury Nofer (G 2110), which probably dates to the reign of Khafre or a little later, there is visible a deviation from the norm (Fig. 6c). The falcons on standards (idmy) appear at the top of Nofer's list, with the horizontal subdivisions containing the width and amounts of the linen following as normal. But the first row of the second horizontal subdivision, which would ordinarily be occupied by the arrow (for ssr-linen) is instead occupied by the other three terms for the different qualities of cloth (ssr, sm't nfrt, 'c'), which like ssr usually head their own subdivisions. Then the three subdivisions indicating the widths and amounts of the linen in two more rows, which would normally be separated by the terms for the different qualities of cloth, follow in one block. Even so, the horizontal format of the linen lists is to some extent maintained in contrast to Senenu's vertical format.

Seshemnefer I's linen list (Fig. 6d) shares the horizontal format with the earlier lists, but it also has something in common with Senenu's linen list. This is the use of a strip of cloth to represent the largest measurement of breadth in the linen lists, that is, a 'hundred cubits' (see above). If Seshemnefer indeed dates to the early Fifth Dynasty, the shared feature could argue that Senenu is as late.

There are other departures from Fourth Dynasty traditions as well. Common component elements in the short panel lists from the reign of Khufu are sntr, htt mrht, Henderson. msdm, that is, incense, the best oil, green eye paint, black eye paint. This is not to say that every Fourth Dynasty panel offering list contains these elements, but many do. So do some lists of the early Fifth Dynasty. Senenu's short list lacks all four elements, which suggests that the draftsman was unfamiliar with the Fourth Dynasty tradition of compiling offering lists.

Endnotes
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Left-hand jamb of Seneniu, Louvain-la-Neuve EG 162.
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Right-hand jamb of Senenu, Cairo.
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