BRIEF COMMUNICATIONS

An enigmatic object explained

During the clearance of the area at the south base of the Second Giza Pyramid by Abdel Hafez Abd el-'Al in 1960, a sealed passage was discovered near the remains of the small satellite pyramid, GII-a. The passage opens in the bedrock 4 m west of the satellite pyramid and is aligned to the east-west axis of the small pyramid. The passage has a square cross-section of 80 cm and slopes for 6.70 m at an angle of 35°. It was found to be plugged with three blocks of limestone.

A small niche opens at the end of the passage on its south side. This measures 1.19 m long, about 62 cm deep, and from 49 to 62 cm high. The niche contained a wooden box sealed with string. The box contained three layers of wood pieces which were reconstructed to make up a frame of four rods supporting a cavetto cornice (see figs. 1 and 5). The dimensions of the assembled object are roughly 186 cm high and 74 × 63 cm at the base. The frame had been systematically dismantled. Several of the pieces had been deliberately broken 'by planing with an axe or chisel, and then by breaking at the point of planing; secondly, by sawing'. The restored piece can be seen today in Hall 42 of the Egyptian Museum.

The purpose of this object remained a mystery to the excavator, but the circumstances of its burial provide a clue to its possible function. The similarity of its interment with that of the Cheops boat might suggest that this object had an analogous purpose. As with the Cheops and Dahshur boats, objects associated with ancient Egyptian funeral ceremonies, but not part of the burial-chamber assembly, were often deposited outside the tomb proper. These so-called 'embalmers' caches' could contain not only the material used in mummification and the 'funerary feast', but also objects used in transporting goods to the tomb. These range from sling nets to sledges and, of course, the boats mentioned above.
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Scenes on the walls of Old Kingdom and later tombs often show objects being transported to the tomb (Fig. 2). The scenes which concern us here are those which show the transport of statuary to the tomb. In these representations the statues are often shown being drawn on sledges and encased in a tall, rectangular box (cf. the tombs of Ti at Saqqara, and Meresankh III at Giza; Fig. 3). In some cases these boxes are obviously representations of shrines such as those actually found at Lisht, Dahshur, and in the tomb of Tutankhamun. The Tutankhamun shrines have both flat and arched tops. These also
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appear to be the types represented in the Old Kingdom reliefs. However, with statuary which was meant to be exposed, placed in the traditional serdab, or otherwise singled out for inclusion in the mortuary complex, a shipping crate may have been required in some cases. The wooden frame found by the Chephren satellite pyramid would appear to have served as such a transport container. Its association with the funerary statue might account for the cavetto cornice, giving the general form of the sh ntr, and its burial in sacred ground. This purpose would explain several of the peculiar features of the object. The copper staples on either of the longer sides of the cornice (see figs. 1 and 5) could have been used to pass ropes through to stabilize the case as it was being towed upon a sledge. In actuality the rope could have been passed through the staples and around the frame in several ways to achieve its stabilization during transport. The horizontal cross-members would have helped to strengthen the frame under the pressure of the ropes. They probably also served as convenient supports to stabilize the statue within the frame. In this regard it should be noted that the uppermost cross-bars (see fig. 5, top, levels 2 and 3) leave a space, 33 x 36 cm, toward one side of the frame (the 'back' side in the ASAE 63, pls. iia and b elevations). The head of a standing statue would have passed through this space, and the bars defining the space would have provided enclosing supports. On this side of the frame there is also provided an extra vertical member from the underside of the cornice to the level-2 cross-bar. This could have been additional support for the upper part of the statue, had it been tied by rope.

The level 1 cross-bar (fig. 5, top), located at about half the height of the frame, runs horizontally through the centre of the above-mentioned enclosed space (down through the frame in a plan-view). Reconstructed accordingly, the frame would not allow the introduction of a standing statue. However, a seated statue could fit into the frame with the level-1 bar crossing in front of the torso. Furthermore, the lowest layers of horizontal members look to have additional slots toward the rear of this section (see fig. 5, bottom) to which the cross-piece could be moved, enabling the frame to hold a standing statue. The reinforcing horizontal members and the cross-bars must have been removed when the statue was released from the case (only the lowest layer 1 if it was a seated statue around 1.2 m in height). This might suggest that when the statue was prepared for transport the case was assembled around it. From the way in which the frame was dismantled—deliberate chopping with hatchet or chisel, and dowels broken at the joints—it appears that the frame was (ritually?) broken down when it was time to emplace or inter the statue at the funerary precinct. A wooden box, sloping passage, and niche were specially prepared for the
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interment of the broken frame. Given the proximity of the passage to the satellite pyramid of Chephren, its location on the east–west axis of that pyramid, and the fact that it slopes down toward the pyramid, this must have been considered an annex to the satellite pyramid’s substructure, even though the passage opens outside the pyramid court proper.1

Reisner2 and Maragioglio and Rinaldi3 considered this a queen’s pyramid, while Ricke,4 Lauer,5 and Stadelmann6 accept it as a ‘cult pyramid’ of the king. It has long been considered that the small satellite pyramids of the middle and later Old Kingdom developed out of the Southern Tomb of Zoser at his Saqqâra Step Pyramid complex, and that they carry a similar significance, although what that significance might have been is widely debated. One of the suggestions is that the South Tomb and satellite pyramids were intended for the interment of a ka-statue of the king.7 The dismantled canopy-frame found in association with the Chephren satellite pyramid may offer support for this suggestion. In this regard it might be compared to the wooden bier ‘for carrying a shrine’8 found in the T-shaped magazine off the south side of the entrance corridor of the Zoser South Tomb.9 The wooden frame from the Chephren precinct, with a total height of 1.86 m, would nicely enclose a statue with a height of about 1.6 m. The T-shaped burial chamber of the Chephren satellite pyramid, with a height of 2.05 m10 could have received a statue of this dimension, provided the statue did not exceed about 1 m in width. The entrance passage of GII-a is 1.05 m square.11 Since the base of the canopy-frame is 63 × 74 cm, the statue it transported would probably not have exceeded these widths.
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A fourth Dahshur boat

The excavation of three Twelfth Dynasty wooden Egyptian boats in 189412 provided scholars with the first opportunity to study actual hulls from ancient Egypt. Jean-Jacques de Morgan discovered the boats buried beside the brick pyramid of Sesostris III at Dahshur. Two of the boats are displayed in the National Museum of Cairo, and the third in Chicago’s Field Museum of Natural History. De Morgan also reported finding three other boats about 100 m from the first group, but they were not excavated.

While investigating the construction of these funerary vessels, I discovered that a fourth Dahshur boat had been purchased in 1901 by Andrew Carnegie for the Carnegie Museum of...