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There can be no academic subject for which the general public has such an inexhaustible appetite as Egyptology, and no period more so than the age of the pyramids. But the popular writings in this area are notoriously variable. While there is no shortage of reliable and accessible surveys by leading scholars in the field, neither does one have to look far on book lists to find an abundance of 'pyramidology' and other nonsense which also finds a wide audience. It was therefore a very welcome opportunity that arose when Helen Strudwick proposed that the 2009 Old Kingdom Art and Archaeology conference be held at the Fitzwilliam Museum in Cambridge so as to coincide with our annual Glanville Lecture on Egyptology, thus bringing the fruits of recent excavation and research by leading scholars to a wide general audience. The resulting event, held on 20–23 May 2009, consisted of a three-day meeting of specialist researchers, followed by a day of talks by some of the foremost experts in the Old Kingdom, to which the public was also invited, all culminating that evening in the Glanville Lecture delivered by Dr Jaromír Malek on 'A city on the move: Egypt's capital in the Old Kingdom'. This volume publishes all but three of the twenty-seven papers presented at the conference, plus one additional offering.

The Fitzwilliam Museum is fortunate to have one of the most important collections of Egyptian antiquities in the UK and thus provides a very appropriate setting for the OKAA conference. The earliest Egyptian object to arrive—a very fine Third Intermediate Period coffin set—was given in 1822, only six years after the bequest of Viscount Fitzwilliam created the museum, and a quarter century before the building erected to house its collections first opened its doors. Since then the Museum's Egyptian collection has grown to nearly 17,000 objects, of which some one thousand are on display. The Egyptian galleries were refurbished in 2006 and remain the most popular in the museum.

Stephen Glanville, after whom the lecture is named, was Professor of Egyptology at Cambridge (1946–1956), as well as being Chairman of the Fitzwilliam's Syndicate and Honorary Keeper of Antiquities. Glanville saw it as essential that the Museum's Egyptian collections were actively used in teaching—as is still the case today—and that they continue to grow through acquisition. His commitment to engaging the public in the fascinating discoveries of professional Egyptologists has been continued by the Museum by the holding of a lecture bearing his name since 1977. We were delighted that Jaromír Malek accepted the invitation to give the 2009 lecture; and that so many distinguished scholars of Old Kingdom Egypt were able to attend the conference with which it was paired.

Special thanks are due to Helen Strudwick, at the time Senior Assistant Keeper, Antiquities, and Nigel Strudwick, the organisers of the conference, who have also edited the papers published here.

Timothy Potts
Director
The Fitzwilliam Museum
Cambridge
Introduction

This volume presents twenty-five of the twenty-seven papers presented at the 2009 Conference Old Kingdom Art and Archaeology, generously hosted by the Fitzwilliam Museum in Cambridge. The history of these Old Kingdom meetings was admirably summarised by Miroslav Bárta in his Foreword to the proceedings of the 2004 conference, held in Prague, and it would be superfluous to repeat it here. The contents of the present volume show the wide range of subjects which this research group now embraces, from the Pyramid Texts through site reports, from the analysis of statue orientation to attempts to study the spatial arrangement of Old Kingdom cemeteries. Some of the papers are substantially the same as those presented at the meeting, but the editors have encouraged authors, where they feel it is necessary, to expand upon their ideas and to take them beyond the limited range of material which can be presented in a twenty-minute talk. One further paper which could not be presented at the conference is also included.

We were delighted to welcome to Cambridge colleagues from all over the Egyptological world, and they fairly represent where the Old Kingdom is studied most. We are delighted to be able to include the paper from Abdou el-Kerety (better known to his friends and colleagues as Hatem); visa problems meant that he was regretfully unable to be present at the conference, despite our best efforts with the UK authorities, but his contribution was read and appreciated in his absence. The paper of Gabriele Pieke could not be presented at the conference but we are happy to be able to include it. The longest paper presented here is by Mark Lehner and his co-authors and is a report on progress of his excavations at Giza; this has turned into a substantial publication and analysis and it is a great pleasure to be able to include it in this volume.

The final day of the conference was open to the public, focusing more particularly on papers relating to the archaeology and monuments of the Memphite region. This, and indeed the conference as a whole, formed a precursor to the thirty-third Stephen Glanville Memorial Lecture. This annual event, hosted by the Fitzwilliam Museum, has been an important fixture in the Cambridge and UK Egyptological calendar since 1977. In 2009, the Lecture was given by Dr Jaromir Malek on the subject 'A city on the move: Egypt's capital in the Old Kingdom'.

The editors would like to thank many persons without whose help and assistance the 2009 Old Kingdom Art and Archaeology meeting could not have taken place. First and foremost, we are deeply indebted to Dr Timothy Potts and all the staff of the Fitzwilliam Museum for enabling the events to take place so successfully, and for ensuring the efficient operation of everything from computer projectors through to the teas and coffees which sustained us. We also thank our colleagues whose enlightening papers and discussion made the meeting the success it was, and we acknowledge their efforts in enabling the completion of the manuscript just over two years since the meeting.

We are delighted to acknowledge the help and assistance offered by Oxbow Books in taking this publication into their archaeological series. To our editor, Clare Litt, and the head of production, Val Lamb, go our profound thanks for their advice and support.

Nigel Strudwick
Helen Strudwick
Village, town and barracks: a fourth dynasty settlement at Heit el-Ghurab, Giza

Ana Tavares

The Giza Plateau Mapping Project (GPMP)\(^1\) evolved from its beginnings in surveying and mapping the landscape at Giza into a large-scale settlement excavation in the area known as Heit el-Ghurab ('Wall of the Crow').\(^2\) The site is named after its most distinguishing feature, a 10 m tall, 200 m long stone wall, below the eastern edge of the southern Giza escarpment (el-Gebel el-Qibly). Twenty years of excavation salvaged an extensive area of fourth dynasty settlement dated to the reigns of Khafre and Menkaure.\(^3\)

The town was abandoned and dismantled when the Giza pyramid building projects came to an end. Soon thereafter, forces of erosion cut what is essentially a horizontal section through the site before it was buried by a thick layer of sand.\(^4\) There seems to have been no further occupation at the site until its use as a burial ground starting from the 25th dynasty and continuing until Roman times (second century AD).\(^5\)

Three distinct urban areas (Galleries, Eastern Town and Western Town) comprise the fourth dynasty settlement. Their distinctness is reflected in the urban layout, size and design of structures,\(^6\) and by the patterns emerging in the distributions of faunal, botanical, lithic and ceramic material.\(^7\) These distinct urban areas are linked by streets, gates and enclosure walls.\(^8\) While excavating and recording, and for ease of reference, Lehner named structures and parts of

---

\(^1\) The Heit el-Ghurab site is extensively published in preliminary reports and articles. This text is essentially a bibliographical summary of the team's publications. A number of publications, including AERAGRAM and the Ancient Egypt Research Associates (AERA) Giza Occasional Papers (henceforth GOP) are available for download on the project's web site http://www.aeraweb.org/ (accessed 18 October 2010).


\(^3\) The only inscribed material retrieved at the site consists of mud sealings some of which can be dated to the reigns of Khafre and Menkaure: J. Nolan, Mud Sealings and Fourth Dynasty Administration At Giza (PhD dissertation, Dept. of Near Eastern Languages and Civilizations, The University of Chicago, June 2010). The ceramics material confirms a mid-fourth dynasty date, A. Wodzińska 'Preliminary Ceramic report', in Lehner and Wetterstrom (eds), Giza Reports 1, 283–342.

\(^4\) Lehner in Lehner and Wetterstrom (eds), Giza Reports 1, 27, 39–40.

\(^5\) J. Kaiser, 'Mapping Late Period Burials' in M. Lehner, M. Kamel and A. Tavares (eds), Giza Plateau Mapping Project, Season 2005, Preliminary Report (GOP 2; Boston 2006), 77–79. For the dating of the burials I thank Sabine Laemmel (personal communication 2010).

\(^6\) Lehner in Lehner and Wetterstrom (eds), Giza Reports 1, 43–50.


the settlement as Galleries, Royal Administrative Building, Main Street, Eastern and Western Towns, and so on. These are working designations and do not necessarily define the original function of the structures or areas. A plan of the site will found as Plate 14.

Barracks
The central part of the settlement is a set of four blocks of ‘Galleries’, laid out orthogonally and separated by three broad (10 cubits wide) and straight roads running east–west. The southern blocks are built back to back, creating a massive structure with north–south walls running for 70 m. The central road, Main Street, separates Gallery Set II from Gallery Set III and leads to the only known gate in an enclosure wall.9 We have excavated one unit (Gallery III.4) in its entirety, and have found that it has a central low bench running along its length into which stone bases, probably for slender wooden columns, are sometimes embedded at regular intervals close to five cubits. The main space is divided in two and has a series of bed platforms across the width, with a ‘house’ at the back, possibly for an overseer.10 Lehner has hypothesised that a royal guard (nfrw), rather than just workers, might have been housed in the Galleries.11 Material culture excavated from the barracks indicates that the site was centrally provisioned. There are parallels in the material culture (pottery, finds, botany and faunal remains) of other centrally provisioned fourth dynasty sites, such as Sheikh Said and Wadi Garawi which housed a workforce for quarry and damn construction work respectively.12

The Royal Administrative Building (RAB)
A thick, and presumably tall, masonry wall separated this large building from the Galleries and from the Eastern Town. In the later phase of the complex, when the structure was remodelled and the silos were built in a sunken courtyard at the back of the building, a double masonry wall forming a covered and secure street surrounded the entire complex.13 Access to this building and to the magazines that lined up on the west was strictly controlled. The enclosure wall, running south from the Wall of the Crow, curves to the east separating the southern end of the Gallery Complex from the northern part of the Western Town. It then turns twice at 90 degree angles, firstly north and then east, around the perimeter of the RAB creating an enclosed street. The southern end of the RAB building has not yet been recorded as it lies below the modern Abu Hol sports club. The RAB Street was accessible through an opening at the north-east corner of the RAB enclosure presumably the back of this layout, and this access point also controlled access to the Western Town.14

Village
The Eastern Town shows a more self-organised urban pattern, with small courts and chambers comprising houses that are smaller than those in the Western Town. We excavated one small urban estate; a core house surrounded by courts covering an area around 100 square metres. Non-orthogonal, small streets and passages characterise this part of the settlement, which contains small hearths and silos. The analysis of material culture from the Eastern Town reflects a village economy, with higher density and variety of plant items and evidence of pig raising.15 This town extend eastwards under the modern town of Nazlet es-Samman.16

The Western Town
The Western Town is an area of large houses and production units to the south and west of the site. The houses here are very large. House Unit 1 covers an area of 400 m². In this house we have recorded 21 rooms. A very private bedroom at its core includes a double bed platform and painted plastered walls. The other rooms of this house contain storage bins, pedestals and a bakery at the south-eastern corner.17 To the southeast we have recorded another house,

---

10 A. Abd el-Aziz, 'Main Street Excavations', in Lehner and Wetterstrom (eds), Giza Reports 1, 109–140.
15 Murray, General Anthropology 11 (2005), 1–8; Redding, in Lehner and Wetterstrom (eds), Giza Reports 1, 263–269; Redding, in Campana et al. (eds), Anthropological Approaches to Zooarchaeology (Oxford forthcoming).
17 M. Lehner, 'Bakery or Brewery in House Unit 1?', in M. Lehner
House Unit 3, which features a central open courtyard with a tree pit. A mounded dump between two of the large houses yielded high numbers of cattle bone and thousands of formal sealings, documenting administration by high-ranking scribes with ties to the vizier’s office. Area AA to the west contains the Pedestal Building, founded on a higher level beyond a thick fieldstone and mud-brick wall. This layout may have related to specialised storage in compartments raised upon the pedestals and possibly malt production.

**Early Phase**

We do not know if all the elements comprising the Heit el-Gharab overall site map were contemporary. We have intimations of an early layout of the settlement and we recognise phases of site development. For instance, the northern block of galleries, Gallery Set I, predates the construction of the massive Wall of the Crow that bounds the site on the north. Gallery Set III initially had entrances on the south, which became internal doorways with the construction of Gallery Set IV. The initial layout of the barracks might have consisted of Gallery Sets I, II and III separated by east-west broad streets (see figure A). We have recorded parts of what might have been an early limestone enclosure wall and gate just south of the Chute and southwest of the Main Street gate through the later enclosure wall. In the north-western corner of the large RAB (Complex 2), we excavated an early layout (Complex 2), that was functioning prior to the construction of the enclosure wall. The RAB complex (Complex 1), including the sunken courtyard with large storage silos, was built in a later remodelling of the site. The enclosure wall was likewise built after Complex 1, and it formed with the outer RAB wall a street north and west of the RAB. We have also recorded parts of an early industrial area, possibly for faience production, to the southeast of the Hypostyle Hall in the area we term EOG, which was later given over to bread production. At the northeast of the site, very large, early mud-brick structures emerged in the sections of the modern machine cuts (BBHT and BBHT2) on the eastern edge of the Galleries Set II and III, both to the south and north of Main Street.

**Giza settlement levels**

The general elevation of the top of the Heit el-Gharab settlement ruins is around 16–17 m asl (Above Sea Level), while the settlement recorded further east under the modern town of Nazlet es-Samman is around 14.70 m asl. Lehner, El-Sanussi and Jones suggest that the Old Kingdom flood plain is around 12–13 m asl in the Giza area, with flood peak maximum at around 14.50 m asl. Lehner sees the modern 18 m contour line as a residual trace of fourth dynasty harbours. The Heit el-Gharab site is on the desert edge, built on sands and gravels, and to the north built partly on the wadi fan, hence the lowest recorded levels of occupation are around 14.80 to 15.00 m asl. Parts of the site show thick gravel and limestone chip dumped deposits used to level and build-up the surface prior to building.

The Eastern Town seems to have been built on a land spur extending east with the settlement sloping down to the north (N99,130) and to the south (N99,010). To the east of Heit el-Gharab, under the modern town, the Old Kingdom settlement is estimated to extend over 3 km² and may have consisted of three main conglomerations, spreading ribbon-like on both sides of the Bahr el-Libeini canal. This canal runs along the eastern desert escarpment and has long been thought to indicate a residual Nile


18 Lehner, Kamel and Tavares (eds), GOP 2, 74–76.


20 M. Lehner 'Enigma of the Pedestal Building: Desert Refrigerator, Malting Machine, or Both?' in S. Ikram and A. Dodson (eds), *Beyond the Horizon, Studies in Egyptian Art, Archaeology, and History in Honour of Barry J. Kemp* (Cairo 2009), 182–214.


25 Lehner, Kamel and Tavares (eds), in GOP 3, 49–59; Lehner, Kamel and Tavares (eds), GOP 2, 35–39. EOG indicates 'East of the Galleries’, an area of auxiliary structures extending east from the galleries to the eastern enclosure wall.

26 Lehner, Kamel and Tavares (eds), GOP 3, 30–35.


31 The Bahr Yusuf and the Bahr el-Libeini are essentially parts of the same waterway: D. Jeffreys, *The Survey of Memphis VII. The Hekhekyian Papers and other sources for the Survey of Memphis* (Excavation Memoir 95; London 2010), 49, 25.
branch. The Eastern and Western Towns do not show substantial depth of occupation, although there is evidence of extensive remodelling within structures. Once the settlement was dismantled and abandoned, the site seems to have suffered severe erosion, as evident in the central area of the Western Town, where an outlying tomb from the Old Kingdom cemetery is built over already eroded and denuded house structures.

The site dips into a low-lying area in the south along the southern limit of the Eastern Town and the eastern edge of the Western Town. This depression seems to extend under part of the modern soccer field (see Plate 14, area designated as LNE 1). Surprisingly, there are substantial architectural remains to the south of this low lying area. The structures, named Standing Wall Island, are adjacent to the south-west corner of the modern soccer field and consist of mud-brick and limestone walls preserved to a height of 1.5 m. They have a different alignment than other buildings at the Heit el-Ghurab, which are aligned just 3° north of west while this structure is at 21° west of north.

What bounded the site at the north-east?

On the north the site is bounded in its later phases by the Wall of the Crow, which abutted an existing second phase of Gallery Set I. A trench off the immediate eastern end of the Wall of the Crow found an earlier phase of the western wall of Gallery Set I, 0.8 m to the east of the last phase. There is no trace of a northern boundary wall on the north-east of the site (except the northern wall of Gallery Set I). Excavations show parts of an eastern boundary wall (area MSE) separating the Galleries from the Eastern Town.

Why there was no need to build a northern boundary beyond the western side of Gallery Set I? If Gallery Set I originally extended as far east as Gallery Sets II and III it would have covered an area of 3,500 m². It would form a solid block possibly providing enough of a boundary to the site. Another possibility is that the site was bounded on the north-east by a harbour delivery area.

The settlement ruins disappear in the north-east part of the site (see Plate 14). Deep sondages that we excavated in 2002 within the foundation trench of the modern security wall, to the north of the projected line of Main Street (N99,140), showed no settlement within the usual Old Kingdom horizon around elevation 15–17 m asl. Test trenches in the north-east of the site, designated LNE (see Plate 14, coordinates N99,200–210 and E500,750.5–765.5) revealed at 15.66 m asl a patch of Old Kingdom surface but no architectural remains. The settlement extends southwards (down to N99,010) around the RAB but not into the low-lying area further south (see below and Plate 14). The relocation of the soccer field would enable us to gain a better understanding of the southern part of the site.

The extensive settlement to the south of the Wall of the Crow contrasts with the situation to the north of this wall where there are no built structures, simply ephemeral installations on prepared Old Kingdom surfaces of dumped limestone rubble extending at least 30 m north of the gate in the Wall of the Crow. At the eastern end of this wall, we found the remains of a construction ramp or embankment, designated 'masons' mound.' We also have a contrasting picture on the north-east of the site where there are substantial architectural remains from the early phase in the area immediately east of a large modern backhoe trench (BBHT), but we cannot trace the settlement further north and east (to the east of Gallery Set I and in LNE, the far eastern sondage). After the site was dismantled, and mined for mud-brick and sand, the forces of erosion cut a horizontal section through the settlement. We are still investigating these processes. In the area of the BBHT at 17 m asl we see flood deposits, showing a rippled surface with laminations of sand and fine silts created by floods. The highest silt layers date to the Graeco-Roman period on the basis of embedded pottery sherds.

What is missing at Heit el-Ghurab?

Certain structures have not been identified at the Heit el-Ghurab site. These are: a water storage area, latrines and middens, and cult structures. We might expect a water storage area, possibly extra-mural near a gate, similar to the zir area at the workmen's village at Amarna, or water tanks such as those found at the Khentkauses and Menkaure settlements at Giza. It is possible that water was easily

34. K. Butzer, 'When the desert was in flood...', AERAGRAM 5.1 (2001), 3–5.
36. S. Hassan, Excavations at Giza IV (Cairo, 1943).
38. Lehner in Lehner and Wetterstrom (eds), Giza Reports 1, 37–39.
39. Lehner, Kamel and Tavares (eds), GOP 1, 45–54; Lehner, Kamel and Tavares (eds), GOP 2, 21–31; and Lehner, Kamel and Tavares (eds), GOP 3, 12–24.
40. K. Butzer, 'When the desert was in flood...'; AERAGRAM 5.1 (2001), 3–5.
Bathrooms and toilets are known from the Early Dynastic Period or small shrines such as Deir el-Medina, the station of repos du col de la Vallée des Rois, Wilkinson (Stuttgart 1984) and J. Assmann, Ägypten – Theologie und Prinzimmern einer frühen Hochkultur (Stuttgart 1984); L. Gablin, 'Private Religion', in T. Wilkinson (ed.), The Egyptian World (London and New York, 2010), forthcoming.

We would not expect formal latrines at the site but we have excavated outside the enclosure wall in search for a 'toilet' area and middens (dumps of domestic waste). Organic material is not preserved in the main Heit el-Ghurab site and we hoped that desiccated material might be found in the waste dumps on the western edge of the settlement. Here we excavated part of an extensive sherd dump, similar to the widespread dumping to the east of the Galleries, area EOG (East of the Galleries). These deposits in EOG seal the early industrial faience production area, and form a levelling deposit for the conduction of bakeries and pedestals which characterise the later use on the site. Waste was also disposed by being dumped in disused houses or rooms from neighbouring houses. This is the case of the dump designated as Pottery Mound to the south of House Unit 1 in the Western Town.

We have not identified cult structures, such as chapels or house shrines at the Heit el-Ghurab settlement. We might not expect chapels for popular worship before the Middle and New Kingdoms, as earlier periods required intermediaries between the individual and the divine. No formal cult areas have been identified in other workmen's settlements at Sheikh Sayd, Sadd el-Kafara, elsewhere at Giza or in Middle Kingdom town of Illahun. The lack of inscribed material, paradoxical in a site administering the centralised resources of fourth dynasty Egypt, prevents us from knowing if local matters were settled locally (possibly by a the council such as that at Deir el-Medina that held judicial sessions in the cemetery adjacent to the workmen's village) or were dealt with by the central administration.

A study of exceptions
The study of Egyptian urbanism has been characterised as a study of exceptions. O'Connor stated that discussions of Egyptian urbanism were based on sites 'decidedly untypical of most Egyptian settlement'. Many settlements were deemed to be unrepresentative of Egyptian urbanism in general. This would include single purpose towns (pyramid towns, workmen's villages, quarry settlements, forts), short-lived towns and new foundations (Tell el-Amarna, Pi-Rameses), towns un-'Egyptian' in character (Tell el-Daba, eastern Delta settlements), and towns with specific topographic constraints (Elephantine).

In fact these 'usual' settlements might reflect, not just archaeological bias, but the diversity in form and function of ancient Egyptian settlements. Three decades ago Bietak, argued that the 'individual peculiarity' of Egyptian urbanism required a specifically Egyptian classification while Kemp provided an encompassing discussion of the dynamics of Egyptian urbanism. There is an ongoing debate about how to define cities and towns in an ancient Egyptian urban landscape.
context. For Wilson specialised urban settlements such as pyramid towns, capitals, and so on, do not constitute 'cities'. Although he does not clearly define 'city', he considers size and longevity as main, determining characteristics. Gordon Childe in his seminal study listed ten criteria that distinguish ancient cities from surrounding towns and villages. Several of his criteria have been corroborated in subsequent urbanism discussion; relative population size, craft specialisation and storage of surplus goods are consistent elements of most definitions of the term 'city'. More recently Hassan proposed a definition applicable to Ancient Egypt based on settlement size, distances and population.

The ancient Egyptian words for 'town' and 'city' were used with a certain flexibility, and do not seem to define rigidly different types of settlement. The words for town, hwt and njwt, refer to a walled settlement with the basic distinction based on shape not on size. At Giza two settlement names are attested; the 'northern Gerget of Khufu' and the 'southern Tjeniu of Khafre'. There are also mentions of the 'ra-she of Khufu' which may designate a basin. Lehner suggested a hypothetical location for the two settlements and for the ra-she of Khufu, Khafre and Menkaure. Subsequent work has confirmed some of these hypotheses.

What kind of settlement is the Heit el-Ghurab site?

The Heit el-Ghurab site has been described as a worker's camp. A camp can designate a place for a temporary stay, a set of buildings where people are housed temporarily, for example, as prisoners, refugees, or troops; or describe a site with short-term, removable accommodation. This later definition is not applicable to the Heit el-Ghurab site as it is characterised by very large buildings using up to 185,000 mud-bricks alone in each individual gallery structure. However the central part of the site certainly seems to have been a barracks providing accommodation for a rotating labour force or perhaps for troops. The settlement could be considered 'temporary' to the extent that it seems to have been abandoned and dismantled soon after the completion of the building task. Nevertheless the Heit el-Ghurab site functioned at least for the duration of the reigns of Khafre and Menkaure which is possibly twice as long as the life span of the city of Amarna. Furthermore based on the titles found on mud sealings in the Western Town, an important part of the central administration may have been located there.

The Heit el-Ghurab site has also been described as the Ikaouhor-Menkaouhor, RdE 31 (1979), 3–28; J. Yoyotte, 'Le bassin de Djaroukha', KEM 15 (1959), 23–33. For the ra-she as a storage and delivery area around a harbour, R. Stadelmann, 'La ville de pyramide à l'Ancien Empire', in RdE 33 (1981), 163–164; Lehner, MDAIK 41 (1985), 136.


The eastern settlement in the cultivation was confirmed by subsequent work see El-Sanussi and Jones, MDAIK 53 (1997) 241–253, and Lehner, JARCE 39 (2002), 27–74. The inferred desert edge settlement was located in 1988 and is the subject of the present article. For the identification of the Heit el-Ghurab settlement with the 'Southern Tjeniu of Khafre' see M. Lehner, 'City on Edge: Gateways to Giza South' Dispatch 1_2011ii7_ML. AERA: GPMP Reports on file.

Kemp, Anatomy, 188–190, fig 66.

Heindl estimates a total of 5,088,000 bricks for the total four sets of galleries. G. Heindl, Methods of reconstructing the worker's house 'Gallery III-A' in the workmen's settlement south of the Wall of the Crow in Giza (GDP, Boston forthcoming).


Lehner in Lehner and Wetterstrom (eds), Giza Reports 1, 46–47. Parts of the site may have functioned later into the fifth dynasty (John Nolan, personal communication).

For evidence of a closed community of important scribes connected with the vizier's office and the royal residence see Nolan and Pavlick, in AERAGRAM 9.1 (2008), 2–4. And Nolan, Mud Seals, 323–382.
largest known pyramid town.\textsuperscript{71} The definition of pyramid
towns and the identification of these with excavated
settlements remains a source of debate.\textsuperscript{72} While Bietak
includes settlements housing pyramid builders, craftsmen
and officials within the definition of pyramid town,\textsuperscript{73}
Stadelmann sees pyramid towns as specialised settlements,
for those involved in the administration of the funerary
cult, which developed around valley temples. Thus he
considers that pyramid builders' settlements did not develop
into pyramid towns.\textsuperscript{74} In his discussion of seventeen Old
Kingdom pyramid related settlements Bussmann regards
only two of these as pyramid towns.\textsuperscript{75} Recent excavation
results compel us to refine and modify our understanding
of these settlements.\textsuperscript{76}

We should also consider that the Heit el-Ghurab site
functioned in the wider context of settlements, industrial
installations and large stone enclosures at Giza.\textsuperscript{77} These
included the settlement southeast of Menkaure's pyramid,\textsuperscript{78}
the structures west of Khafre's Pyramid,\textsuperscript{79} the settlement
in the Menkaure Valley Temple\textsuperscript{80} and the Khentkaues
Town.\textsuperscript{81} After the reign of Menkaure settlements at Giza
appear to have contracted into smaller areas associated with
Pyramid temples. Further investigation is needed for a
better understanding of the longevity of these sites beyond
the fourth dynasty; however it is clear that the Khentkaues
town and the village inside and around the Menkaure Valley
Temple continued to function at least until the end of the
Old Kingdom.

A Shifting Capital?\textsuperscript{82}
The locations of the necropoleis indicate the whereabouts
of contemporary settlement.\textsuperscript{83} It is possible that the substantial
necropolis at Giza and the near absence of fourth dynasty
burials in older cemeteries would have been accompanied
by a movement of the settlement and the royal admin­
istration. The closest to a 'capital' in the Old Kingdom
might have been the royal residence, which is assumed to
have been located near the pyramid complex.\textsuperscript{84} Thus the
Old Kingdom 'capital' might have been a non-nucleated
settlement\textsuperscript{85} spreading over an area of 30 km and moving
with the royal necropolis from Abu Roash to Dahshur.
Bard states that the 'Giza settlements do not suggest a
functioning state capital'.\textsuperscript{86} Nolan's analysis of mud sealings
from the Heit el-Ghurab indicates that important aspects
of central administration took place here, but he states that
this was probably not the location of the main residence.\textsuperscript{87}
Further discussion of Giza settlements and the location of the
Old Kingdom capital would be profitably set within a
consideration of the overall urban and landscape context
of the 'Capital Zone'.\textsuperscript{88}
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**Conclusion**

The fourth Dynasty settlement excavated at the Heit el-Ghurab site has revealed a series of distinct urban areas, varying in their layout, size and design of structures as well as in the patterns emerging from the material culture. Although this large, special purpose settlement did not develop into a long-term city it played a pivotal role in the development of the Old Kingdom, revealing as Lehner stated not only how the Egyptians built the pyramids but 'how the pyramids built Egypt'. By participating in the building of the pyramids the ancient Egyptians would have developed a sense of identity and common purpose with the state. The Old Kingdom state is characterised by Kemp as a 'provider state' with pyramid building as a form of state public expenditure. Lehner has discussed ancient Egypt society in the light of segmentary systems, the Patrimonial Household Model, and complex adaptive systems. He postulates that complexity in ancient Egypt was not derived from centralised decision making, which in itself was not all that complex, but in the connections between households and a fractal replication of household modes of production and organisation. Heit el-Ghurab site lies at the core of this seemingly centralised fourth Dynasty state and has provided a more complex picture of Egyptian settlements.

**List of principal excavation abbreviations**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Abbreviation</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BHT</td>
<td>Backhoe Trench</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BBHT</td>
<td>Big Backhoe Trench</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BBHT2</td>
<td>Big Backhoe Trench 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EOG</td>
<td>East of the Galleries</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LNE</td>
<td>Leap to the North-East</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MSE</td>
<td>Main Street East</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RAB</td>
<td>Royal Administrative Building</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

Plate 14: Plan of the Heit el Gurob settlement with areas mentioned in the text (Lehner, "Introduction", 14, Fig. 1.9)
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Recent research on all aspects of the Old Kingdom in Egypt is presented in this volume, ranging through the Pyramid Texts, tomb architecture, ceramics, scene choice and layout, field reports, cemetery layout, tomb and temple statuary. The contributions also show how Egyptology is not stuck in its venerable traditions but that newer forms of technology are being used to great effect by Egyptologists. For example, two papers show how GIS technology can shed light on cemetery arrangement and how 3D scanners can be employed in the process of producing facsimile drawings of reliefs and inscriptions.

The authors cover a wide range of sites and monuments. A large part of the work presented deals with material from the great cemeteries of Saqqara and Giza of the Old Kingdom capital city of Memphis but all the smaller sites are discussed. The book also includes a paper on the architecture of mastabas from the lesser-known site of Abu Roasch. The provinces are by no means overlooked, with articles on material from Deir el-Bersha, el-Sheikh Said and Akhmim. Between them, the authors discuss material from the milieu of the king right down to that which concerned the tomb workmen and those who supplied their basic needs, such as bakers, brewers and potters.
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