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In ancient Egypt, after the death of the king, his successor had one idea in mind: to build a tomb that will help him, after his own death, to resurrect and gain entry to the world of Eternity. For more than 1000 years (from the Third to the Thirteenth Dynasty, during the historical periods that we call the Old and Middle Kingdoms), the Egyptians built stone pyramids under which to bury their pharaohs. This is the so-called 'Pyramid Age' of Egypt when more than a hundred pyramids were built. In the beginning, the Egyptians constructed step pyramids, then, from the Fourth Dynasty on, they built true pyramids, the biggest of which is the Great Pyramid at Giza.

Building a pyramid was the major event during the reign of any king. But the pyramid was not the only monument that had to be erected for the royal funerary cult; it was surrounded by a protective wall and was supplemented by several other structures: a spacious mortuary temple (where the body of the king was mummified), the valley temple was accessible by a large harbor built on a big canal connected to the Nile. All these structures, with the pyramid as the major structure, comprise the royal funerary complex.

The first thing that a new king had to do was to choose an appropriate place for his funerary complex. He was helped by a number of persons (priests, architects, scribes, and others) that belonged to his administration. Most of these persons had already been working for years on the funerary complex of the king who had just died. Sometimes, it was even the case that his pyramid was not yet finished at his death, so his successor (generally one of the royal sons) had to complete the work and bury the dead ruler. At the same time, the new king had to start the work on his own pyramid. It is clear that most of the persons that were administrating the funerary complex of the dead king had to come to serve the new king and organize the work of the new funerary complex. Nevertheless, a certain number of priests had to stay at the funerary complex of the deceased king, who was already considered a god, in order to perpetuate his mortuary cult. The duties of this type of priests were recorded on numerous papyri. Some fragments of these papyri, dating from the Fifth Dynasty, are known as the Abusir archives. This archive is our main source of information about the organization of administration during the Pyramid Age. We would like to have similar archives from a funerary complex under construction, but until now, nothing of the kind has been found.

However, the quarrymen, the stone haulers, and the builders of the pyramids left numerous inscriptions on the stones, generally painted in red, black, and ochre (very rarely were they carved). These inscriptions are another important source of information about the administration of pyramids.

The Egyptian administration existed long before the Pyramid Age. It can even be said that the creation of a well-organized administration was vital for the country. The question is: why did it come into being in the first place?

It started a long time ago (10,000-5,000 BC), when nomadic tribes began to settle on the banks of the Nile. Immediately, they had to face an important natural phenomenon: the strong periodic flood of the Nile.
river, which reached its zenith at the end of July. If the flood was not controlled, the country would have been facing a general disaster due to destroyed agriculture and a starving population. So the Egyptians had no choice; they had to organize themselves and dig canals and basins in order to control and stock the waters of the flood. It is not by chance that one of the first known representations of an Egyptian king shows him digging canals. A quite elaborate administration emerged to organize and direct the work in the country. The result was a fertile land that was irrigated permanently. Egypt became prosperous and was even considered as the granary of the ancient world.

What we actually know about pharaonic administration is probably quite far from the ancient Egyptian reality. Nevertheless, the actual sources of information that we have in our possession depict a certain image of this administration and how it was organized during the Pyramid Age.

There is a word in the pharaonic language, pronounced za, that might have been used to designate the most important groups of people in the administration. The earliest evidence of the hieroglyph za, which represents a looped cord serving as hobble for cattle, comes from First Dynasty inscriptions on royal stone jars (3100 BC). One of the latest is on a bilingual decree of King Ptolemy III (237 BC), where za was translated as the Greek word 'phyle' which means 'a tribe.' The fact that phyles were mentioned regularly throughout the three millennia of ancient Egyptian history, shows clearly that they were the skeleton of the Egyptian administration. The phyles were composed mainly of priests. An Egyptian priest could have many other functions at the same time: a scribe, a controller of works, an architect, a judge, a vizier, a king's son, and so on. Therefore, some of the members of the phyles were already high-ranking officials. They were the directing persons in the phyles, and, together with the other priests, were serving the pharaoh. The priests were living in a city next to the pyramid complex of the ruling king, called the 'pyramid town,' but some of them had their living place inside the royal temples, where they were working. These temples were the storage places for the enormous quantities of offerings coming from all around Egypt to celebrate the royal mortuary cult. In fact, these offerings were also used, after the ceremonies, to provide the income of the priests. It is obvious that when a new king came into power, the priests would not let someone else plan and direct the building of his pyramid and its adjacent structures, i.e. their main working place. From the first stone of the future pyramid until the completion of the royal funerary complex, the priests' phyles were there to organize and control the work, then provide the necessary service for the mortuary cult of the king, even after his death.
The system of phyles was not exactly the same throughout the Pyramid Age. During the Old Kingdom, according to the Abusir papyri mentioned above, there were five phyles designated by adjectival names, such as 'great,' 'green,' and 'small.' Each phyle was divided into two divisions, bearing names like 'the living,' 'the strong,' 'the noble,' 'the favored,' 'the one in front,' 'the first,' or 'the rising one.' The members of a division were engaged in a ten-month cycle of rotating service, i.e., they were working one month in a temple, the next month in another temple, then after ten months they were serving again in the first temple. This rotation did not concern the persons that were employed permanently (lector and purification priests, scribes, guards, artisans, potters, handymen, and others in similar positions).

During the Middle Kingdom, the system of phyles was somewhat different: according to the papyri found at the pyramid town of Kahun (al-Lahun in the Fayum), there were four phyles named 'first,' 'second,' 'third,' and 'fourth' (later was added a 'fifth' phyle). Each phyle provided a one-month service, rotating with the other phyles in numerical order. This system was apparently in use for many centuries, until the Ptolemaic Period.

It was noticed long ago that some variant names of the phyles during the Old Kingdom are similar to four nautical terms that correspond to the right and the left sides of a ship (starboard and larboard), as well as to its front and rear parts (bow and stern). The conclusion was drawn too quickly: in a country like ancient Egypt, where the river Nile was the political and the economic axis, it was natural that the fluvial activities had been organized early on and that this nautical organization was later adapted to the other activities, so that it was not surprising to find nautical terms used as names for priests' phyles. In fact, the analysis of all phyle names shows that they did not originate from nautical terms but from several characteristic signs. These signs, or emblems, could have designated certain groups of people that might have existed long before the first military or commercial ships ever sailed on the Nile. In this case, the organization of ship crews could have been influenced by the organization of priests' phyles rather than vice versa.

There is a generally accepted idea that the administration of a pyramid was led by big crews or teams, incorporating the five phyles and their divisions (we have evidence of two divisions per phyle from the Abusir papyri, but four from the mortuary temple of Menkaure and even more from the pyramid of Pepy I). This idea should be reviewed, because large teams of several hundred persons, designated by the hieroglyph \( \overrightarrow{\text{aper}} \), were recruited when needed, especially when the pyramid was begun. Furthermore, it seems that they were not permanently in existence as the phyles were. The names of the \( \overrightarrow{\text{aper}} \)-teams, known from the inscriptions of pyramid builders, included very often one of the pharaohs' names. From the inside of the Great Pyramid at Giza, we have evidence of some \( \overrightarrow{\text{aper}} \)-team names like 'The Two-Lands (Egypt) Purifiers of Horus Medjedu' (team name A), 'The Purifiers of Horus Medjedu' (team name B), 'The Friends of Khufu' (team name C) and 'The Followers of the Powerful White Crown of Khufu' (team name D). Some elements of these names, like 'friends,' for example, could perpetuate through different reigns, as illustrated by 'The Friends of Menkaure.' The name of another \( \overrightarrow{\text{aper}} \)-team from the time of Menkaura has been completely misunderstood for almost a century, the translation 'The Drunkards of Menkaure' is not logical and could be replaced by 'The Laborers of Menkaure,' which sounds much more appropriate for a group of people constructing a pyramid. The numerous persons that were organized in \( \overrightarrow{\text{aper}} \)-teams had to be guided and controlled by other persons, permanently employed by the pharaoh: most probably the members of a phyle. Because the name of the \( \overrightarrow{\text{aper}} \)-team has been written before the name of a phyle, as is seen on some stones from the mortuary temple of Menkaure, it did not mean that this team was leading the work, with the members of the priests' phyle under its control.

The names of these \( \overrightarrow{\text{aper}} \)-teams included an important word—the royal cartouche—which had to be written at the beginning of the inscription, like the god's name Re (the Sun), illustrated by a circle in the cartouche of Menkaure, which was the most important element of the name. Even though pronounced last (Men-kau-Re), it was written at the beginning of the cartouche (this is the well-known Egyptian rule of the so-called honorific anteposition).

Therefore, it is now preferable to think that it was the phyles, composed of several divisions, who were administrating the work of the big teams, like the \( \overrightarrow{\text{aper}} \)-teams, but also the \( \overrightarrow{\text{w}} \)-teams and maybe others. These teams with numerous members were doing the heavy and demanding tasks like quarrying, hauling, and lifting stones, while smaller groups of persons, the divisions of the phyles, were doing the very precise and specialized tasks. The professionalism of these smaller groups made possible the miracle of the Pyramid Age of ancient Egypt.

---

**Old Kingdom phyle names from the Abusir Papyri** | **variant names from other documents** | **Nautical terms** | **Characteristic signs**
---|---|---|---

| \( \overrightarrow{\text{w}} \) | pronounced '\( \overrightarrow{\text{w}} \)' | \( \overrightarrow{\text{jmy-\( \overrightarrow{\text{w}} \)}} \) pronounced '\( \overrightarrow{\text{imi-\( \overrightarrow{\text{ur}} \)}} \)' | \( \overrightarrow{\text{jmy-\( \overrightarrow{\text{ur}} \)}} = \text{starboard} \)
| \( \overrightarrow{\text{jmy-\( \overrightarrow{\text{ur}} \)}} \) | \( \overrightarrow{\text{w}} \) | \( \overrightarrow{\text{jmy-\( \overrightarrow{\text{ur}} \)}} \) pronounced '\( \overrightarrow{\text{to-\( \overrightarrow{\text{ur}} \)}} \)' | \( \overrightarrow{\text{jmy-\( \overrightarrow{\text{ur}} \)}} = \text{larboard} \)
| \( \overrightarrow{\text{w}} \) | pronounced '\( \overrightarrow{\text{w}} \)' | \( \overrightarrow{\text{jmy-\( \overrightarrow{\text{w}} \)}} \) pronounced '\( \overrightarrow{\text{uadj}} \)' | \( \overrightarrow{\text{jmy-\( \overrightarrow{\text{w}} \)}} = \text{bow} \)
| \( \overrightarrow{\text{w}} \) | pronounced '\( \overrightarrow{\text{w}} \)' | \( \overrightarrow{\text{jmy-\( \overrightarrow{\text{w}} \)}} \) pronounced '\( \overrightarrow{\text{nedjeset}} \)' | \( \overrightarrow{\text{jmy-\( \overrightarrow{\text{w}} \)}} = \text{stern} \)
| \( \overrightarrow{\text{jmy-\( \overrightarrow{\text{nr}} \)}} \) | pronounced '\( \overrightarrow{\text{jmy-\( \overrightarrow{\text{nr}} \)}} \)' | \( \overrightarrow{\text{jmy-\( \overrightarrow{\text{nr}} \)}} \) pronounced '\( \overrightarrow{\text{neferet}} \)' | \( \overrightarrow{\text{jmy-\( \overrightarrow{\text{nr}} \)}} = \text{starboard} \)

---
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The Step Pyramid of Djoser at Saqqara

seen from its southeastern corner.
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Builders' inscriptions painted on some of the stones from the pyramid of Khufu and from the mortuary temple of Menkaure (Fourth Dynasty, Giza).
One of the papyri from the Abusir archives shows that a phyle's division consisted of ten priests, whose names were clearly written on the papyrus. These priests, called *iems-nfr* ("Servants of God") and *še-nš.w* (literally translated "Those in Front of the Basin"), were assigned to daily and nightly rituals inside the mortuary temple or on its roof, as well as around the pyramid and on its surrounding wall (these rituals could have taken place even during the construction of the pyramid complex). They were preparing and presenting the ritual meals for the king, performing libation and fumigation rituals (with incense, for example), unvelting, cleaning, dressing, and adorning statues, guarding the monuments overnight, and so on. The accomplishment of each assignment was strictly controlled by noting the presence or the absence of the priests (a black vertical stroke when the priest has fulfilled the task, a red stroke when he was absent).

But, we must always keep in mind that the Abusir papyri gave account of the work of approximately 200–250 persons serving the mortuary cult of a dead king. Performing the whole reign of a king, the administration had to govern many more people. Herodotus mentions that 100,000 persons had been involved in the construction of the pyramid of Khufu, but modern Egyptologists' estimates reduce this number to 30,000. The majority of the Egyptian pyramids were not as big as the Great Pyramid, so one could imagine that 10,000–20,000 people would have been enough for the construction of these pyramids. Such figures show that the number of persons that had to be organized on the necropolis of a living king, during the construction of his pyramid, was about a hundred times higher than the number of persons serving the cult of a dead king. Consequently, the information available from the Abusir papyri must be quite far from the real scope of the administration of a pyramid under construction.

In order to come nearer to the Egyptian reality, we have the inscriptions left by the pyramid builders—a direct source on the administration of a pyramid. But there is a serious problem in using this source since the great majority of the stones with these inscriptions are still under sand and debris; the lower stone courses of the four sides of the commonly-known pyramids are generally not cleaned. The reason for this is most probably lack of financial means to finish the job of cleaning, but reluctance to do this work could also be a factor. Otherwise, it is hard to explain that out of more than a hundred pyramids, and after more than 150 years of modern excavations in Egypt, only the pyramids of Khufu and Khafre at Giza, the pyramid of Pepy I at South Saqqara, and to a certain extent, the pyramid of Unas at Saqqara, have been systematically cleaned and sand removed from their sides down to the foundation. The enormous potential of the pyramid builders’ inscriptions is far from being completely explored. Some examples of inscribed stones from the pyramid of Pepy I show clearly how fertile this source of information could be.

When we see the essential information that has already been collected from builders’ inscriptions, information about pyramid construction, the administration of the pyramid, and the persons leading this administration, it is clearly a pity not to try to enrich the corpus of these documents. Archaeologists have to start thinking about systematically cleaning the lowest parts of some of the pyramids in Saqqara, Dahshur, Abusir, and Giza. Some prime subjects would be the pyramid of Djedkare (Fifth Dynasty) at South Saqqara, one of the best-preserved pyramids in Egypt; the pyramid of Teti (Sixth Dynasty) at Saqqara, the pyramids of the two half-brothers Merene and Pepy II (Sixth Dynasty) at South Saqqara; the pyramid of Menkaure (Fourth Dynasty) at Giza, the two big pyramids of Sneferu (Fourth Dynasty) at Dahshur, the Red Pyramid and the Bent Pyramid, which is the best preserved pyramid in Egypt, as well as the monument of Sneferu at Meidum where the clearing of its northwestern angle (work done in 1984–86), has produced hundreds of inscriptions. Even the step pyramid of Djoser at Saqqara, one of the most famous and most visited monuments in Egypt, has the lower part of its western side still under sand.

The destruction of the Egyptian pyramids during the three to five millennia that separate us from the Pyramid Age, leaves little or no chance for even the greatest optimist to imagine that one day treasures could be found from an intact pyramid tomb, like the treasures from the tomb of Tutankhamun, for example. Instead, what we may find in the future, if we are willing to invest in cleaning some of the pyramids, are more and more inscriptions from their builders that will give us genuine information about how the pyramids were constructed and administered. In fact, these inscriptions would be the real 'treasures of the pyramids'!
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